

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <ctype.h>

#define MAXPAROLA 30
#define MAXRIGA 80

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int freq[MAXPAROLA]; /* vettore di contatori
delle frequenze delle lunghezze delle parole */
    char riga[MAXRIGA];
    int i, inizio, lunghezza;
    FILE *f;

    for(i=0; i<MAXPAROLA; i++)
        freq[i]=0;

    if(argc != 2)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "ERRORE, serve un parametro con il nome del file\n");
        exit(1);
    }
    f = fopen(argv[1], "r");
    if(f==NULL)
    {
        fprintf(stderr, "ERRORE, impossibile aprire il file %s\n", argv[1]);
        exit(1);
    }

    while( fgets( riga, MAXRIGA, f ) != NULL )
```



Synchronization

Critical sections

Stefano Quer, Pietro Laface, and Stefano Scanzio

Dipartimento di Automatica e Informatica

Politecnico di Torino

skenz.it/os

stefano.scanzio@polito.it

Concurrency and Synchronization

❖ Development environment

- **concurrent** programming (using P or T)
- cooperating processes or threads

Processes or threads

❖ Issues

- Need to manipulate shared data
- **Race conditions** may arise
- There may be sections of **not-reentrant** code

Results dependent on the order of execution

❖ Solution strategy

- appropriately **synchronize** P or T, to make their results not dependent on their relative speed

Uninterruptible code

"Too much milk problem"

Time	Person A	Person B
10.00	Look in fridge; out of milk	
10.05	Leave for store	
10.10	Get to the store	Look in fridge; out of milk
10.15	Buy milk	Leave for store
10.20	Back home	Get to the store
10.25	Put milk in fridge	Buy milk
10.30		Back home
10.35		Put milk in fridge Hops!!!

LIFO - Stack

 P_i / T_i

```
void push (int val) {
    if(top>=SIZE)
        return;
    stack[top] = val;
    top++;
    return;
}
```

 P_j / T_j

```
int pop (int *val) {
    if(top<=0)
        return;
    top--;
    *val=stack[top];
    return;
}
```

❖ **push** and **pop**

- Operate on the same end of the stack
- Variable **top** is shared

top++ then top-- or viceversa
Problems?!

Can overwrite a value (lose a push), make a pop of a nonexistent value, etc.

FIFO – Queue – Circular Buffer

 P_i / T_i

```
void enqueue (int val) {
    if (n>SIZE) return;
    queue[tail] = val;
    tail=(tail+1)%SIZE;
    n++;
    return;
}
```

register = n
register = register + 1
n = register

 P_j / T_j

```
int dequeue (int *val) {
    if (n<=0) return;
    *val=queue[head];
    head=(head+1)%SIZE;
    n--;
    return;
}
```

register = n
register = register - 1
n = register

❖ enqueue and dequeue

- Operate on the different ends of the queue, using two variables **tail** and **head**
- Variable **n** is still shared

Increments and decrements
can be lost

Critical sections

- ❖ Critical Section (**CS**) or Critical Region (**CR**)
 - A section of code, common to multiple processes (or threads), in which they can access (read and **write**) shared objects
- ❖ i.e, a **CS** or **CR** is
 - A section of code in which multiple processes (or threads) are competing for the use (read and **write**) of shared resources (e.g., data or devices)

Critical sections

- ❖ The race conditions could be prevented if
 - No P (or T) executes in the same CS simultaneously
 - No other P (or T) can execute, when a P (or T) executes in the CS
 - The code in the CS is executed by a single P (or T) at a time
 - The code in the CS is executed in mutual exclusion

In other words, Bernstein's conditions must fulfill

Solution

❖ Solution

- Establish an **access protocol** that enforces **mutual exclusion** for each CS

❖ i.e.

- Entering a CS, a thread executes a **“reservation”** code
 - The reservation code must block (lock out) the P (or T) if another P (or T) is using its CS
- Leaving its CS, a P (or T) executes a code to **release** the CS
 - The release possibly unlocks another P (or T) which was waiting in the “reservation” code of its CS

Access protocol

 P_i / T_i

```
while (TRUE) {  
    ...  
    reservation code  
    Critical Section  
    release code  
    ...  
    non critical section  
}
```

 P_j / T_j

```
while (TRUE) {  
    ...  
    reservation code  
    Critical Section  
    release code  
    ...  
    non critical section  
}
```

- ❖ Every CS is protected by an
 - enter code (reservation, or prologue)
 - exit code (release, or epilogue)
- ❖ **Non-critical sections** should **not** be **protected**

Conditions

- ❖ Each solution to the CS problem **must** match the following requirements
 - Mutual exclusion
 - Only one P (or T) at a time must gain access to the CS
 - Progress
 - If no P (or T) is in the CS, and a P (or T) wants to enter, it must be able to do it in a defined time
 - Only the P (or T) in the reservation phase can participate to the selection
 - No P (or T) outside the CS can block other P (or T)
 - That is, **deadlock** between P (or T) must be avoided

Conditions

➤ Defined wait

- There must be a maximum number of times in which other P (or T) can access the CS, before a specific P (or T) can access
- That is, we must avoid **starvation** of P (or T)

➤ Each solution should be symmetrical

- The selection of the P (or T) that must access the CS should not depend on
 - Relative priority between P (or T)
 - Relative speed between P (or T)

Solutions

❖ Software functions

- Solutions without special CPU instructions, which depend on the logic of an **algorithm**

❖ Hardware

- Solutions based on special **hardware characteristics**, or special (atomic) CPU instructions

❖ System calls

- The **kernel** provides the data structures, and the related system calls, that the programmer can properly use for solving the mutual exclusion problem

Semaphore: introduced by Dijkstra [1965]